Approved For Release 1999/09/07: CIA-RDP75-00001R90029033

Effective Foreign Aid

CPYRGHT

By WILLIAM H. STRINGER, Chief, Washington Bureau, The Christian Science Monitor

Washington

We'll be hearing a lot abou foreign - aid programs this spring. The new Eisenhower budget calls for \$4,350,000,000 in foreign military and economic aid for fiscal 1958. Perhaps this year, with all the likely debate, we can get more clearly in mind what foreign aid is all about.

The business of administering an effective aid program is an intricate, skilled profession. Americans in general, and some members of Congress in particular, whose automatic reaction to the words "foreign aid" is to think of "operation rathole," or "dogoodism and waste," or "no foreign aid whatsoever," or "no grants, only loans," may find that there's more to the subject than snap generalizations.

Certainly the subject is receiving a lot of official attenthop. Congress has set up two foreign-aid studies. President Eisenhower appointed Fairless Citizens Committee on Foreign Assistance Programs, and its report is due March 1. Max F. Millikan and W. W. Rostow of the International Center for Studies, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, have written extensively on policy toward the underdeveloped countries. Paul G. Hoffman, former Marshall Plan administrator, has advanced useful new ideas.

When the Fairless committee report is in, the President is expected to send a special foreign-aid message to Congress in support of his budgetary request. With some members of Congress up in arms over the size of the budget. the foreign-aid program is likely to be singled out for special attention by the pruning shears.

What should be the purpose of our foreign-aid program? Manifestly it has to serve the interests of the United States in an intelligent way. President Eisentered upon a historic struggle sion, have sought to topple would be would be would from spiedvectory readers pro-Western govern-discover would be would be would be would be would from spiedvectory reduced by the state of the whole four-billion-dollar turnult over Suez.

schemes of the \$3,776,000.000 budgeted own oil revenues). Says the for foreign aid in fiscal 1957, London Economist: "Demononly \$350,000,000 was for economic development - just Western type, once so easily about one-tenth.

development

tary divisions, bolstering South Vietnam's armed strength, Turkish economy. These were no longer willing to risk a necessary measures. But they broken head or a spell in jail." weren't economic develop-

are at work among the under-



'Can That Be Hitched to a Plow?'

developed, emergent peoples. They offer a utopia achieved by Marxist methods. We prefer not to have communism capture this undecided onethird of mankind. But how do up the new nations in military pacts of allegiance to us and the West? Suppose they doa't want to sign up"

The real, the achievable long-range aim of foreign aid is to build independent, stalwart nations out of these emergent countries. A hint as to how it can be done was illustrated dramatically the maintaining its Western con-

for tensive development program way (iinanced by strations of the classic antiengineered by a quick whip The rest went into military around the slums, have beassistance and defense sup- come more difficult for the port. It went toward main- usual agents to organize, be-taining South Korea's mili- cause men earning 15 shillings (unskilled) to 30 shillings (skilled) a day are buying bulwarking the army-strained watches and radios and are

These Iragis had new in-We are all aware that ing, bridge building—someterests-irrigation, new hous-Moscow and the Communists thing better to do than to riot against a departed colonialism. And that, as Messrs. Millikan and Rostow comment, suggests the aim of any enlightened foreign-aid program. The objective should be to awaken hope and interest about the future, to show that improved living standards are attainable by hard work, to shift thought to constructive

allegiances. In India, for instance, election candidates debate as to who has done most to further India's five-year plan-In some other countries, the sterile election debate still concerns: Who did the most in ousting the Dutch, or the French?

The Arab lands aren't easily budged from old attitudes. But here an imaginative approach might work wonders: such as we prevent this-by signing the establishment of an Arab League redevelopment bank for making development loans to the entire Middle East. King Saud might be persuaded to invest his oil revenues in this bank instead of into Colonel Nikser's propaganda drives.

One way or mother, we are going to hear a lot about for-eign development programs in the months shead. Foreign aid is part of the Eisenhower other day in Iraq, in the Mid- Doctrine for the Middle East dle East. Iraq, though an Arab France has standard a Eurnation. has stood firm in africa development. West West Germany air to work more with back type nations. The hower has said that "one- nections. Egypt and Syria, United Nitrots has its own third of all mankind has en- with propaganda and subver- "Point rough program. It

CPYRGHT